

United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,806	06/19/2003	Thomas R. Herren	10001-001	6812
7590 06/14/2006			EXAMINER	
MARK H. PL	AGER	A, PHI DIEU TRAN		
	V OFFICES, P.C	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
16152 BEACH BOULEVAARD, SUITE 207 HUNTINGTON BEACH, CYPRESS, CA 90630			3637	
			DATE MAILED: 06/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
· Office Action Comments		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/600,806	HERREN, THOMAS R.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Phi D. A	3637			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is is a soint of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 February 2006</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
	3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
		x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 45	13 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3-19</u> is/are withdrawn Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment		_				
2) 🔲 Notico 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) · No(s)/Mail Date 6/19/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/600,806 Page 2

Art Unit: 3637

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 3-19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species and inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 2/16/06.

2. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-2 to figures 1-2 in the reply filed on 2/16/06 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the restriction is improper as the restriction is based on figures instead of claims. This is not found persuasive because as set forth in the restriction, the election of any specie is to be accompanied by applicant pointing to the claims associated thereof. Effectively, applicant is telling the examiner that claims 1-2 in this case is to the distinct specie of figures 1-2. Although claims may be of a variable of scope, the claims nevertheless belong to a specie or other species as they are broad enough. If the claims are broad enough to include more than one specie, and are thus considered generic, applicant is welcome to point it out and have the claims examined also. Nevertheless, the claims cannot latter be changed to cover other species, non-elected only.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 3637

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herren (6260318) in view of Hatzinikolas (5313752) and Tellenaar (6705056).

Herren (figure 5) shows a multi-purpose construction assembly comprising a plurality of parallel vertical stud members (50) of equal length possessing a first terminal end and a second terminal end, a means (10) for reinforcing the plurality of studs comprising a unitary elongated metal plate like member formed of a finite length defined by two parallel studs terminating in a first end and a second end (where 12 and 13 are located), the member possessing a first horizontal edge and a second horizontal edge between the first end and the second end, a first flange (16) extending perpendicularly upwards from the first end, the first end of the metal plate incorporates a pair of parallel notches (30) along the horizontal axis, the first and second horizontal edge of the plate are folded downward and perpendicular to the plate forming a first downward flange (21) and a second downward flange (22), the first flange being substantially longer than the second flange and the width of the first flange extends to and overlaps the adjacent parallel upright studs which define the width of the member located between each stud member.

Herren does not show a second flange extending perpendicularly upward from the second end to permit fastening to the adjacent stud, an anchor means attached to the second terminal end of the parallel stud member, a first horizontal expansion contraction means slideably attached to the first terminal end of the stud members, the anchor means being a second horizontal expansion contraction means slideably attached to the second terminal end of the parallel stud members.

Tellenaar shows a second flange (31a, right) extending perpendicularly upward from the second end to permit fastening to the adjacent stud.

Hatzinikolas discloses an anchor means(12) attached to the second terminal end of the parallel stud members, a first horizontal expansion contraction means(14) slideably attached to the first terminal end of the stud members, the anchor means being a second horizontal expansion contraction means slideably attached to the second terminal end of the parallel stud members.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Herren's structure to show a second flange extending perpendicularly upward from the second end to permit fastening to the adjacent stud as taught by Tellenaar, a first horizontal expansion contraction means slideably attached to the first terminal end of the stud members, the anchor means being a second horizontal expansion contraction means slideably attached to the second terminal end of the parallel stud members because having a second flange extending upwardly from the second end would allow for the easy attachment of the second end to a stud as taught by Tellenaar, and having first and second horizontal expansion contraction on the terminal ends of the studs would allow for the easy mounting of the studs which permits vertical movement as taught by Hatzinikolas.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art shows different braces and frame designs.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phi D A whose telephone number is 571-272-6864. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 571-272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phi Dieu Tran A

6/11/06